



# NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section

28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

پبلیکیشن ہائیوے اتھارٹی

No. 6(539)/GM(P&CA)/NHA/2021/ **592**

Islamabad, 11...October, 2021

## Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority  
1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank,  
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):  
Consultancy Services For Feasibility Study And Detailed  
Design For Widening & Improvement Of Noshki To Dalbandin  
Section Of N-40 (198 Km Approx.)**

Reference: *PPRA Rule-35*

Find enclosed herewith the Evaluation Report along with  
Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35  
for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

  
(**SAMI-UR-REHMAN**)  
General Manager (P&CA)

**Encl:** Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

### **Copy for kind information to:**

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (P&CA)-III, NHA, Islamabad.

# EVALUATION REPORT

## (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services For Feasibility Study And Detailed Design For Widening & Improvement Of Noshki To Dalbandin Section Of N-40 (198 Km Approx.)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(539)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS454734E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	11 <sup>th</sup> August 2021 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	11 <sup>th</sup> August 2021 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Four (04) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
1) M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consulting Engineers-ACE Ltd., M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s Concept Engineering Services.	818	Technically Qualified
2) M/s NESPAC (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s MMI Pakistan (Pvt.)Ltd. and M/s Power Alm Engineering Services (Pvt.)Ltd.	792	Technically Qualified
3) M/s Asif Ali & Associates (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s AA Associates and M/s Concept Planning and Engineering Services.	771	Technically Qualified
4) M/s Umar Munshi Associates in JV with M/s Cameos Engineering Consultant and M/s Gulf Engineering Consulting Services.	710	Technically Qualified



# **EVALUATION REPORT** **(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)**

5. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share: The Procurement will be carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding will be done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature.....



General Manager (P&CA)  
National Highway Authority  
Islamabad

Official Stamp.....

**\*\*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**

# National Highway Authority



**Annex-I**  
**Criteria**  
**FOR**

## Bid Evaluation

**Consultancy Services For Feasibility Study  
And Detailed Design For Widening &  
Improvement Of Noshki To Dalbandin  
Section Of N-40 (198 Km Approx.)**

**October, 2021**

## SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
<b>1. Firms Experience</b>	<b>100</b>				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
<b>2. Approach and Methodology</b>	<b>250</b>				
2-a. <i>Appreciation of the Project</i>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <i>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</i>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <i>Methodology</i>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal*	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
2-e. Work Program	20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				
<b>3. Key Personnel</b>	<b>450</b>				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel**	25				
(i) Senior Highway Engineer (Team Leader)	120				
(ii) Senior Structural/ Bridge Engineer	70				
(iii) Pavement Engineer & Drainage Engineer	30				
(iv) Transport Economist	25				
(v) Junior Structural/ Bridge Engineer-I & II	2 x 45				
(vi) Junior Highway Engineer-I & II	2 x 45				
<b>4. Performance Certification from clients</b>	<b>75</b>				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting***	25				
<b>5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)****</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>1000</b>				



Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

\* Conciseness and clarity contains 10 marks and 20 marks will be for the completeness of the proposals which includes but not limited to hard binding, sequential page numbering, signing and stamping of each page of proposal.

\*\* Firm affidavit for presence of personnel carries 25 marks out of 450 marks (complete in all respect as per specimen annexed at Annex-C placed in Technical Proposal Forms).

\*\*\* 25 out of 100 marks will be allocated for provision of affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner to the effect that the firm has neither been blacklisted nor any contract rescinded in the past for non-fulfillment of contractual obligations (complete in all respect as per specimen annexed at Annex-B placed in Technical Proposal Forms).

\*\*\*\* 25 out of 50 marks are set for the new firm, the one which has been registered within last six (06) years and which has carried out maximum three (03) projects in last six (06) years and remaining 25 marks are set for methodology/ plans for engaging new firm.

## PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION/AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
(i) Senior Highway Engineer (Team Leader)								(A+B+C)
(ii) Senior Structural/ Bridge Engineer								
(iii) Pavement Engineer & Drainage Engineer								
(iv) Transport Economist								
(v) Junior Structural/ Bridge Engineer-I & II								
(vi) Junior Highway Engineer-I & II								

Rating: - Excellent - 100%    Very good – 90-99%    Above Average – 80-89%    Average – 70-79%    Below Average – 1-69%    Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

\* For Senior Highway Engineer (Team Leader), Senior Structural/ Bridge Engineer, Pavement Engineer & Drainage Engineer, Junior Structure/ Bridge Engineer, Junior Highway Engineer: M.Sc. – 100%; B.Sc. – 70%.

For Transport Economist & Drainage Engineer: M.Sc. (Transportation Engineering)/ M.Sc. Economics with Diploma/ Degree in Economics/ Transportation Engineering, respectively-100%; B.Sc. (Transportation Engineering) or M.Sc. Economics -70%

\*\* 6 month older employee - 100%;  
Less than 6 months or associates- 0%

