



# NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section

28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(629)/GM/(P&CA)/NHA/2024/488

Islamabad, 21<sup>st</sup> November, 2024

## DIRECTOR GENERAL

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

1<sup>ST</sup> FLOOR FBC BUILDING NEAR STATE BANK,

SECTOR G-5/2, ISLAMABAD.

**SUBJECT: ANNOUNCEMENT OF FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):  
CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR DESIGN REVIEW & CONSTRUCTION  
SUPERVISION FOR DUALIZATION OF ROAD FROM CHISTIAN TO CHAK  
NO. 46/3R VIA DAHRNWALA (41.154 KM) INCLUDING TWO LANE LINK  
ROAD FROM DAHRNWALA TO CHAK 175M (4.859 KM)**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Final Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

  
(FAYYAZ AHMED)

GENERAL MANAGER (P&CA)

## DISTRIBUTION:

**ENCL:** Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

## COPY TO:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Dy. Director (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad.



# FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Design Review & Construction Supervision for Dualization of Road from Chistian to Chak no. 46/3R via Dahrnwala (41.154 Km) Including two lane link road from Dahrnwala to Chak 175m (4.859 Km)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(629)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS538281E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	27 <sup>th</sup> May, 2024 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	27 <sup>th</sup> May, 2024 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Six (06) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at <b>Annex-I</b>
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)		
1) M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s BK Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Tareek Consulting Engineers (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	621	200	821	77,894,192	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))
2) M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd. in JV with M/s PEAS Consulting (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Indus Associated Consultants and in association with M/s Hadi Engineering Consultants (Sub-Consultant)	631	153	784	101,950,466	2 <sup>nd</sup>



# FINAL EVALUATION REPORT


(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)		
3) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s New Vision Engineering Consultant and in association with M/s Bosphorus Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	622				<b>Non-Responsive</b> Data Sheet of the RFP, ITC Clause 20.1 (II) (vii & viii)
4) M/s Engineering General Consultant (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s PAVRON, M/s Power Aim Engineering services and in association with M/s Manzoor Arbab Associates Engineering & Technical Associates (MAA) (Sub-Consultant)	614				<b>Non-Responsive</b> Data Sheet of the RFP, ITC Clause 20.1 (II) (vii & viii) & (III)
5) M/s Asif Ali & Associates (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s AA Associates and in association with M/s Mukaab Consultant (Sub-Consultant)	599				<b>Non-Responsive</b> Data Sheet of the RFP, ITC Clause 20.1 (II) (vii) & (III)
6) M/s Techno Consult International (Pvt.) Ltd. in association with M/s HA ENG CON International (Sub-Consultant)	579				<b>Non-Responsive</b> Data Sheet of the RFP, ITC Clause 20.1 (II) (vii & viii)

\*EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.

**Most Advantageous Consultant:**

M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s BK Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Tareek Consulting Engineers (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)



# FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract will be awarded to M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s BK Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Tareek Consulting Engineers (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant) at evaluated Consultancy Cost of Pak. Rs. 90,617,263/- which includes Salary Cost, Direct Non-Salary Cost and all applicable taxes including GST.

Signature:.....  
General Manager (P&CA)  
National Highway Authority  
Islamabad

Official Stamp:.....

**\*\*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**



# National Highway Authority



**Annex-I**

**Criteria**

*FOR*

**Final Evaluation**

**CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR DESIGN  
REVIEW & CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION  
FOR DUALIZATION OF ROAD FROM  
CHISTIAN TO CHAK NO. 46/3R VIA  
DAHRNWALA (41.154 KM) INCLUDING TWO  
LANE LINK ROAD FROM DAHRNWALA TO  
CHAK 175M (4.859 KM)**

**NOVEMBER, 2024**



## SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (FTP)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
<b>1. Firms Experience</b>	<b>100</b>				
1-a. General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
1-b. Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
<b>2. Approach and Methodology</b>	<b>250</b>				
2-a. Appreciation of the Project	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs giving a clear understanding/ proof of the project site	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. Methodology	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
2-e. Work Program	20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				
<b>3. Key Personnel</b>	<b>450</b>				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
a- Design Review (Key-Staff)	70				
i. Team Leader Engineer	70				
b- Construction Supervision (Key-Staff)	355				
i. Resident Engineer	110				
ii. Material Engineer	100				
iii. ARE (Highways)	85				
iv. Senior Quantity Surveyor	60				
<b>4. Performance Certification from clients</b>	<b>75</b>				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
<b>5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>1000</b>				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%  
 Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



## PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification* Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60% for [Design Review] and 65% for [Construction Supervision]		Status with the Firm** 10% for [Design Review] and 05% for [Construction Supervision]		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
<b><u>Design Review Team</u></b>								
i. Team Leader /Structure Engineer								
<b><u>Construction Supervision (Key-Staff)</u></b>								
i. Resident Engineer								
ii. Material Engineer								
iii. ARE (Highways)								
iv. Senior Quantity Surveyor								

Rating: -      Excellent - 100%      Very good – 90-99%      Above Average – 80-89%      Average – 70-79%      Below Average – 1-69%  
    Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

\* For Qualification and Experience of above Key Personnel, refer to TOR Chapter 5, Clause -5.6 (Qualification and Experience Requirement of Personnel).

\*\* 6 month's older employee - 100%;

Less than 6 months or associates-0% ( For more than six months, salary account certificate from employer duly attested by respective bank shall be attached with the proposal.

